

### ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

#### Department of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants

Project Number **2021-806** 

Name of Selection Panel Chair

Laurie Kearney

This Scoreshee		ublic Necol	u		rtcurricy		
Phase 1	Date			Number of Sub	mitting Firms	16	
			Panelist Names		TOTAL		*Ranl
Firms	Laurie Kearney	Yelena Semenova	Lea McCormick		ASSIGNED RANK	RANK ORDER	order # is the to score
1 Wood Harbinger	10	6	7		23	8	most
2 Wave Design Group	15	16	14		45	16	highly qualifie
3 Hultz BHU Engineers	4	3	3		10	3	] '
4 Treswest Engineers	12	13	11		36	13	1
5 PAE Engineers	2	11	9		22	7	
6 BCE Engineers	3	1	4		8	2	
7 McKinstry Essention	6	8	5		19	5	
8 Säzän Group	7	2	2		11	4	
9 Stantec	14	12	6		32	11	
10 FSi Engineers	11	9	10		30	10	
11 Hargis Engeineers	1	4	1		6	1	
12 P2S Inc	5	7	12		24	9	
13 Coffman Engineers	9	10	15		34	12	
14 WSP USA Buildings Inc	13	15	16		44	15	
15 Arculus Design & Technical Services	16	14	13		43	14	
16 Greenbusch Group	8	5	8		21	6	
17							
18							
19							
20							
PHASE 2	DATE:	4/23/2021		Number of Firms Interviewed:	6		
			R OF COMMITTE	E MEMBERS	TOTAL	FINAL	*Ranl
Firms	Laurie Kearney	Yelena Semenova	Lea McCormick		ASSIGNED RANKS	RANK ORDER	order # the to score
1 McKinstry Essention	4	4	4		12	4	mos
2 Säzän Group	5	6	5		16	5	highl
3 BCE Engineers	3	3	2		8	3	qualifi
4 Greenbusch Group	6	5	6		17	6	
5 Hultz BHU Engineers	2	1	3		6	2	1
6 Hargis Engeineers	1	2	1		4	1	1
SELECTION PANEL REACHED CONSENSUS:				Serre	noon	_	=

Laurie Kearney

Lea McCormick (Apr 27, 2021 07:36 PDT)

Lea McCormick

Yelena Semenova



ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

## Department of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

4/6/2021

2021-806

Name of Committee Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

**Laurie Kearney** 

CRITERIA	Qualifications of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience		TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER	
Maximum Score	25	30	45		100		
1 Wood Harbinger	21.0	24.0	36.0		81.0	10	
2 Wave Design Group	20.0	20.0	34.0		74.0	15	
3 Hultz BHU Engineers	22.0	26.0	42.0		90.0	4	
4 Treswest Engineers	22.0	25.0	32.0		79.0	12	Ī
5 PAE Engineers	23.0	25.0	43.0		91.0	2	Ī
6 BCE Engineers	21.0	28.0	41.5		90.5	3	Ī
7 McKinstry Essention	20.0	26.0	39.0		85.0	6	Ī
8 Säzän Group	21.0	26.0	37.0		84.0	7	Ī
9 Stantec	20.0	22.0	35.0		77.0	14	Ī
10 FSi Engineers	22.0	25.0	33.0		80.0	11	Ī
11 Hargis Engeineers	22.0	28.0	42.0		92.0	1	
12 P2S Inc	21.0	28.0	39.0		88.0	5	
13 Coffman Engineers	22.0	25.0	35.0		82.0	9	
14 WSP USA Buildings Inc	21.0	22.0	35.0		78.0	13	
15 Arculus Design & Technical Services	20.0	20.0	32.0		72.0	16	Ī
16 Greenbusch Group	21.0	25.0	37.0		83.0	8	
17							1
18							1
19							1
20							1
COMMENTS:	•			•			1

\*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

Laurie Kearney

Laurie Kearney

Date



ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

## Department of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

4/8/2021

2021-806

Name of Committee Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Yelena Semenova

CRITERIA	Qualifications of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience		TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER	
Maximum Score	25	30	45		100		
1 Wood Harbinger	20.0	24.0	37.0		81.0	6	1
2 Wave Design Group	10.0	10.0	25.0		45.0	16	200000000
3 Hultz BHU Engineers	25.0	25.0	40.0		90.0	3	2222222
4 Treswest Engineers	18.0	22.0	28.0		68.0	13	
5 PAE Engineers	24.0	24.0	28.0		76.0	11	
6 BCE Engineers	25.0	30.0	45.0		100.0	1	
7 McKinstry Essention	24.0	27.0	28.0		79.0	8	Ī
8 Säzän Group	22.0	28.0	43.0		93.0	2	
9 Stantec	19.0	22.0	28.0		69.0	12	Ī
10 FSi Engineers	23.0	29.0	26.0		78.0	9	Ī
11 Hargis Engeineers	23.0	28.0	38.0		89.0	4	Ī
12 <b>P2S Inc</b>	20.0	25.0	35.0		80.0	7	Ī
13 Coffman Engineers	24.0	24.0	29.0		77.0	10	Ī
14 WSP USA Buildings Inc	23.0	16.0	27.0		66.0	15	Ī
15 Arculus Design & Technical Services	21.0	18.0	28.0		67.0	14	Ī
16 Greenbusch Group	25.0	26.0	35.0		86.0	5	
17							
18							
19							
20							
COMMENTS:		<del>'</del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1

\*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

Serrenora	
	<u> </u>
Yelena Semenova	Date



ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

#### **Department of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants**

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

4/7/2021

2021-806

Name of Committee Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Lea McCormick

	CRITERIA	Qualifications of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience		TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER	
	Maximum Score	25	30	45		100		
1	Wood Harbinger	23.0	25.0	44.0		92.0	7	
2	Wave Design Group	20.0	20.0	39.0		79.0	14	
3	Hultz BHU Engineers	26.0	27.0	44.0		97.0	3	
4	Treswest Engineers	21.0	25.0	36.0		82.0	11	
5	PAE Engineers	23.0	24.0	42.0		89.0	9	
6	BCE Engineers	24.0	27.0	44.0		95.0	4	
7	McKinstry Essention	24.0	27.0	43.0		94.0	5	
8	Säzän Group	27.0	27.0	44.0		98.0	2	
9	Stantec	23.0	26.0	44.0		93.0	6	
10	FSi Engineers	21.0	25.0	37.0		83.0	10	
11	Hargis Engeineers	25.0	30.0	45.0		100.0	1	
12	P2S Inc	22.0	20.0	39.0		81.0	12	
13	Coffman Engineers	21.0	20.0	37.0		78.0	15	
14	WSP USA Buildings Inc	20.0	20.0	35.0		75.0	16	
15	Arculus Design & Technical Services	23.0	21.0	36.0		80.0	13	
16	Greenbusch Group	22.0	26.0	43.0		91.0	8	
17								
18								
19								
20								
CO	MMENTS:		'		-	•		1

\*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

Lea McCormick

Date

#### ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

### This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Department	of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants					
Date of Evaluation	Project Number					
Date of Evaluation	Project Number					
4/23/2021	2021-806					
	Name of Committee Member					
Lea McCormick						

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McKinstry Essention 9:00 am	Säzän Group 9:30 am	BCE Engineers 10:00 am	Greenbusch Group 10:30 am	Hultz BHU Engineers 11:00 am	Hargis Engeineers 11:30 am
KEY PERSONNEL	20	19	17	19	17	19	20
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	19	18	18	18	17	19
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	15	18	18	16	18	18
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	18	17	18	16	18	19
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE	20	18	18	19	17	18	19
TOTAL SCORE	100	89	88	92	84	90	95
FINAL RANK ORDER	_	4	5	2	6	3	1

\*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Lea McCormick (Apr 27, 2021 07:36 PDT)

Committee Member's Signature

# ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

#### This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

<b>Department</b>	of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants						
Date of Evaluation	Project Number						
4/23/2021	2021-806						
	Name of Committee Member						
Laurie A. Kearney							

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McKinstry Essention 9:00 am	Säzän Group 9:30 am	BCE Engineers 10:00 am	Greenbusch Group 10:30 am	Hultz BHU Engineers 11:00 am	Hargis Engeineers 11:30 am
KEY PERSONNEL	20	18	17	19	18	19	20
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	19	17	19	18	17	19
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	17	18	18	17	20	19
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	18	18	18	18	19	18
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE	20	18	19	19	17	19	19
TOTAL SCORE	100	90	89	93	88	94	95
FINAL RANK ORDER		4	5	3	6	2	1

\*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Lauris Kearney

Committee Member's Signature

# ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

### This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Department	of Enterprise Serivces 2021-2023 Mechanical Consultants					
Date of Evaluation	Project Number					
4/23/2021	2021-806					
	Name of Committee Member					
Yelena Semenova						

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McKinstry Essention 9:00 am	Säzän Group 9:30 am	BCE Engineers 10:00 am	Greenbusch Group 10:30 am	Hultz BHU Engineers 11:00 am	Hargis Engeineers 11:30 am
KEY PERSONNEL	20	18	16	19	16	20	20
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	18	17	18	17	17	18
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	14	15	18	17	20	19
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	17	16	18	16	20	18
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE	20	17	17	17	17	18	19
TOTAL SCORE	100	84	81	90	83	95	94
FINAL RANK ORDER	-	4	6	3	5	1	2

\*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Sementr

Committee Member's Signature

## 21-806-ScoresheetSummary

Final Audit Report 2021-04-27

Created: 2021-04-23

By: Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAIPMB3P-t44zGfaDCt8lLfgeDquG1jS1z

### "21-806-ScoresheetSummary" History

- Document created by Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov) 2021-04-23 9:57:35 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document emailed to Laurie Kearney (laurie.kearney@des.wa.gov) for signature 2021-04-23 9:59:43 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Laurie Kearney (laurie.kearney@des.wa.gov) 2021-04-23 11:13:06 PM GMT- IP address: 71.212.5.4
- Document e-signed by Laurie Kearney (laurie.kearney@des.wa.gov)

  Signature Date: 2021-04-24 5:21:07 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 71.212.5.4
- Document emailed to Yelena Semenova (yelena.semenova@des.wa.gov) for signature 2021-04-24 5:21:08 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Yelena Semenova (yelena.semenova@des.wa.gov) 2021-04-26 3:58:11 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.65.254
- Email viewed by Yelena Semenova (yelena.semenova@des.wa.gov) 2021-04-27 2:13:51 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document e-signed by Yelena Semenova (yelena.semenova@des.wa.gov)
  Signature Date: 2021-04-27 2:29:18 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document emailed to Lea McCormick (lea.mccormick@dshs.wa.gov) for signature 2021-04-27 2:29:19 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Lea McCormick (lea.mccormick@dshs.wa.gov) 2021-04-27 2:30:12 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.55.152
- Document e-signed by Lea McCormick (lea.mccormick@dshs.wa.gov)

  Signature Date: 2021-04-27 2:36:27 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.55.152



Agreement completed.
2021-04-27 - 2:36:27 PM GMT

